

FILED

MAR 19 2014

RICHARD W. WIEKING
CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

STEVEN KALAR
Federal Public Defender
JOYCE LEAVITT
Assistant Federal Public Defender
555 12th Street, Suite 650
Oakland, CA 94607-3627
(510) 637-3500

Counsel for Defendant ROBERT DOYLE

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

ROBERT THOMAS DOYLE,

Defendant.

No. CR 14 - 0092 JST

STIPULATION AND ~~PROPOSED~~
ORDER CONTINUING STATUS
DATE; EXCLUSION OF TIME

STIPULATION

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED, by and between the parties to this action, that the status date for defendant Robert Doyle, currently scheduled for March 21, 2014, at 9:30 a.m., may be continued to April 11, 2014, at 9:30 a.m. for status. The government has provided in excess of 9,000 pages of discovery and defense counsel needs time for effective preparation to review the discovery, discuss it with Mr. Doyle and begin its own investigation in preparation for the next court date.

IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED THAT the time to April 11, 2014, should be excluded in accordance with the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(1)(A) and (h)(7)(B)(iv) for effective preparation taking into consideration due diligence to enable counsel to review discovery, meet with Mr. Doyle and begin its investigation in preparation for the next court appearance.

1 DATED: 3/18/14

/s/
JOYCE LEAVITT
Assistant Federal Public Defender

3 DATED: 3/18/14

/s/
THOMAS MOORE
Assistant United States Attorney

6 I hereby attest that I have permission of the parties to enter a conformed signature (/s/) for all
7 signatures within this e-filed document.


8 **ORDER**

9 GOOD CAUSE APPEARING, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the court date for Robert
10 Doyle, scheduled for March 21, 2014, is continued to April 11, 2014, at 9:30 a.m. for status.

11 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED THAT the time from March 21, 2014, to April 11, 2014, should
12 be excluded in accordance with the provisions of the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(1)(A)
13 and (h)(7)(B)(iv) for effective preparation of counsel taking into consideration due diligence to
14 enable counsel to review the discovery provided, meet with Mr. Lopez and begin investigation prior
15 to the next date. The Court finds there is good cause and the ends of justice served by the granting of
16 the continuance outweigh the interests of the public and defendant in a speedy and public trial.
17 Failure to grant the requested continuance would unreasonably deny counsel reasonable time
18 necessary for effective preparation, taking into account due diligence.
19
20

21 SO ORDERED.

22 DATED: 3/19/14


HON. JON S. TIGAR
United States District Judge